IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT PETITION No. 21139 of 2005**

Between:

Soora Trinadhamma

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

The Joint Collector,

Srikakulam and 2 others

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**ORDER:**

Challenging the order of the 1st respondent-Joint Collector in D.Dis.No.469/05-S2, dated 02.07.2005 (SRA No.42/2004-S2), the present writ petition is filed.

The 2nd respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, vide his proceedings in Rc.No.900/2004-A, dated 23.04.2005, imposed a fine of Rs.300/- to the petitioner on certain irregularities said to have been committed by the petitioner. Against the said proceedings, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the 1st respondent-Joint Collector, and the

1st respondent passed the impugned order, setting aside the proceedings of the 2nd respondent, cancelled the authorization of the petitioner and directed the 2nd respondent to issue a fresh notification to fill up the said vacancy. Challenging the same, the present writ petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies.

Admittedly, against the impugned order, a revision lies before the District Collector. The jurisdiction of the authority who passed the impugned order is not under challenge. So also the principles of natural justice have not been violated before passing the impugned order.

In that view of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the petitioner to file revision before the District Collector, Srikakulam against the impugned order.

With the above observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

**K.C. BHANU,J**

Dated: 28.09.2005

Dsr